

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,925	08/28/2001	James E. Dahlberg	FORS-06612	6817
23535 75	590 01/16/2004		EXAM	INER
MEDLEN & CARROLL, LLP			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
101 HOWARD STREET SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·	SCO, CA 94105		1636	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/940,925	DAHLBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appears on the cover sheet		
Period for Reply			
<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMN</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than the provalent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extende</li></ul>	visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a s communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the num statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO or reply will, by statute, cause the application to become a conths after the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication (s	s) filed on 04 August 2003		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in cond	ition for allowance except for formal ma ractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.		
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>71-94</u> is/are pending ir	n the application		
, , , <del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 71-94 is/are rejected.	·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to t	by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl	uding the correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C of: ority documents have been received.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
3. Copies of the certified co	ority documents have been received in pies of the priority documents have been received in the priority documen		
* See the attached detailed Office	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). action for a list of the certified copies no		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a classince a specific reference was income 37 CFR 1.78.	luded in the first sentence of the specifi		
· <del>_</del>	n language provisional application has		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cla reference was included in the first	aim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. sentence of the specification or in an A		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14</li> </ul>	iew (PTO-948) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 1636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is a reply to the Amendment and Response of 4 August 2003 filed in response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed 17 June 2003. Claims 71-94 were considered in the previous Office Action. Claims 71, 84 and 94 were amended in the 4 August Paper. Claims 71-94 are presently pending and under consideration.

## Response to Amendment

The amendment to the specification has been entered.

## **Drawings**

The drawings stand objected to for the reasons indicated on the PTO-948 that mailed with the previous Office Action. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings **MUST** be filed within the **THREE MONTH** shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings

Art Unit: 1636

should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

## 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

#### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.185(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set (or extended) period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

# Claim Objections

Objection to claim 84 is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Rejection of claim 71, and claims depending therefrom, and claim 94 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 71-75, 77-81, 87, 88 and 92-94 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,994,368 (Goodman et al.) for reasons set forth in the previous Office Action and herein below in the response to arguments.

Art Unit: 1636

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 71-81, 87, 88 and 92-94 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,994,368 (Goodman et al.) in view of US 5,994,056 (Higuchi et al.) for reasons set forth in the previous Office Action and herein below in the response to arguments.

Claims 71-88 and 92-94 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,994,368 (Goodman et a1.) in view of US 5,994,056 (Higuchi et a1.) as applied to claims 71-81, 87, 88 and 92-94 above, and further in view of US 5,210,015 (Gelfand et a1.) for reasons set forth in the previous Office Action and herein below in the response to arguments.

Claims 71-94 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodman et al.) in view of US 5,994,056 (Higuchi et al.) and further in view of US 5,210,015 (Gelfand et al.) as applied to claims 71-88 and 92-94 above, and further in view of US 4,935,357 (Szybalski) for reasons set forth in the previous Office Action and herein below in the response to arguments.

# Response to Arguments

In response to the art rejections or record, Applicant alleges that the instant invention is distinguished from the prior art because the art fails to teach providing first and second oligonucleotides which have different nucleic acid sequences. Applicant urges that Goodman *et al.* teaches providing *identical* first and second oligonucleotides and will fail if the first and second oligonucleotides are not identical.

Art Unit: 1636

This argument has been fully considered but is not found persuasive. Beginning in column 14 at line 44, Goodman et al. contemplates a method (B), which is illustrated in Figure 2. In the method, a target nucleic acid is denatured and hybridized with a first nucleic acid. Also comprised within the mixture is the complementary strand of the target nucleic acid, which meets the definition of an oligonucleotide as it is set forth on page 29 of the specification and also meets the limitations of a second oligonucleotide comprising a nucleic acid sequence different from the first oligonucleotide. The complementary strand would hybridize to the target nucleic acid in those regions not occupied by the first nucleic acid; therefore, the target sequence, first nucleic acid and second nucleic acid (i.e., strand complementary to the target sequence) would form a first complex. As described in the previous Office Action, the method of Goodman et al. also comprises cleavage of the first complex to liberate the 5' portion of the first oligonucleotide, which is then reacted with a third oligonucleotide to form a second complex that is cleaved to form a detectable second cleavage product. Thus, Goodman et al. does, in fact, teach a method comprising a second oligonucleotide, wherein said oligonucleotide comprises a nucleic acid sequence different than said first oligonucleotide. Therefore, the amended claims are anticipated by or unpatentable over the teachings of the prior art for reasons of record in the previous Office Action and herein.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1636

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M Sullivan whose telephone number is 703-305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 703-305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Please note: Art Unit 1636 will be moving to the new USPTO facilities on 14 January 2004. After that date, Examiner Sullivan can be reached at 571-272-0779 and Examiner Yucel can be reached at 571-272-0781.

PRIMARY EXAMINER